# Contents

| Preface      | vii   |
|--------------|---|
| Introduction | Injectable Botulinum Toxin and Its Aesthetic Applicationsxi<br>Michael Martin           |
| Chapter 1    | The Clinical Importance of Botulinum Toxin as an Injected Protein:<br>Immunogenicity    |
|              | Michael Martin  |
| Chapter 2    | Optimizing Aesthetic Combination Treatments with Botulinum Toxin                        |
|              | Yates Yen-Yu Chao   |
| Chapter 3    | Antibody Formation and Botulinum Toxin Resistance                                       |
|              | Jürgen Frevert and Yates Yen-Yu Chao  |
| Chapter 4    | Conversion and Calculations between Different Commercial<br>Toxin Products              |
|              | Jürgen Frevert and Yates Yen-Yu Chao  |
| Chapter 5    | The Aesthetic Standard for Contouring and Facial Dynamics                               |
|              |   |
| Chapter 6    | To Immobilize or Modulate Muscle Function?  |
| Chapter 7    | Achieving Better Results through Proper Instrumentation for<br>Aesthetic Toxin Practice |
|              | Yates Yen-Yu Chao   |
| Chapter 8    | Achieving Better Symmetry with Aesthetic Toxins   |
|              | Yates Yen-Yu Chao   |
| Chapter 9    | Optimizing Aesthetic Toxin Treatments by Proper Toxin Reconstitution                    |
|              | Jürgen Frevert and Yates Yen-Yu Chao  |
| Chapter 10   | The Initial Judgment and Repeating and Modifying Aesthetic<br>Toxin Treatments73        |
|              | Yates Yen-Yu Chao   |

| Chapter 11 | Anatomical Considerations to Improve Aesthetic Treatments Using<br>Neuromodulators      | 31 |
|------------|---|----|
|            | Nicholas Moellhoff and Sebastian Cotofana   |    |
| Chapter 12 | Why Injection Depth Is Important for Better Aesthetic Toxin Practice                    | 3  |
| Chapter 13 | Distributing Toxin Precisely to the Motor Endplates                                     | 9  |
| Chapter 14 | Individualized Aesthetic Toxin Practice or Freestyle Injection? 10<br>Yates Yen-Yu Chao | 15 |
| Chapter 15 | Eyebrow Enhancement or Instability? 11<br>Yates Yen-Yu Chao                             | .1 |
| Chapter 16 | Refining Forehead Toxin Treatment   | 9  |
| Chapter 17 | Refining Toxin Treatment for Glabella Frown Lines                                       | 9  |
| Chapter 18 | Refining Toxin Treatment for the Masseters  | 7  |
| Index      |   | 5  |



**FIGURE 1.3** Scheme of the two-criteria two-step activation model of the human immune system leading to antibody production. (Left) Dendritic cells (DCs) act as sentinels and as first decision maker recognize microbial *danger signals*. DCs become activated and phagocytose the dangerous antigen, process it, and move to the draining lymph node (left). In the lymph node, they present peptides thereof in MHC class II molecules (antigen presentation) to the second decision maker, the naïve antigen-specific T helper lymphocyte (Tho) that recognizes presented *nonself ( = foreign) peptides* and becomes activated (middle). T cells finally help B lymphocytes to produce antibodies specific to the dangerous antigen that initiated the whole process (right).

# ADJUVANTS ENHANCE THE WEAK IMMUNE REACTION TO BONT/A BY PROVIDING DANGER SIGNALS

The immunogenicity of a weak immunogen can be increased in a vaccine by adding adjuvants. Adjuvants provide the required danger signals lacking in weak immunogens like pure BoNT/A (Figure 1.5a). Intact killed bacteria or bacterial surface structures like flagellin are excellent adjuvants because they contain microbial surface structures. Adjuvants are mixed with the antigen and injected together at the same site. They activate DCs to phagocytose everything in their close vicinity, including the adjuvant and, most importantly, the weak immunogen, here BoNT/A. Being fully activated by the adjuvant, they digest the "co-phagocytosed" BoNT/A and present peptides thereof on MHC II to T helper lymphocytes, initiating a full adaptive immune response resulting in the production of antibodies to the weak immunogen (Figure 1.5b).

## COMPONENTS IN BONT/A PREPARATIONS THAT CAN ACT AS ADJUVANTS

All BoNT/A pharmaceuticals contain the 150kDa neurotoxin. Clostridia produce the neurotoxin in a large progenitor complex. The pharma protein BoNT/A is purified by different companies with different protocols to yield products with different degrees of purity (Frevert 2015).

## **COMPLEXING PROTEINS**

Most brands contain bacterial complexing proteins. As of 2020, only two products free of complexing proteins are available: Xeomin<sup>®</sup> (Merz Pharmaceuticals, Germany), available worldwide; and



**FIGURE 2.4** (a) Some patients' problems need more volume of filler to achieve satisfactory clinical effects. (b,c) The presence of filler underneath the skin and sometimes above muscles can be visible when muscles contract. (d) The use of minimal toxin in some highly mobile areas can reduce the effects of these structures and achieve a more elegant and natural result.



**FIGURE 2.5** (a) Imbalance of volume distribution includes both excess and deficiency. A holistic approach to the problematic appearance corrects both deviations. Toxin to the masseter in this patient was combined with cheek filler modification and chin augmentation. (b) These treatments improved the profile proportion and smoothened the facial curve transition.



**FIGURE 6.2** Smile movements often involve the muscle of orbicularis oculi and levator muscles including zygomaticus major and minor, risorius, nasalis and dilator naris, etc.



**FIGURE 6.3** Spock's deformity occurs when unpaired muscle activities are produced through toxin treatment.

the frontalis muscle results in an imbalance between medial and lateral fibers. The eyebrow tilts under the imbalance, and the lateral end of the eyebrow is elevated. Balance has to be kept not only across the frontalis but also between the elevator and the depressors. Imbalance can occur when the opponents of this antagonism are being treated in preference to one side (Figure 6.4).

Muscles of the glabella complex pull the eyebrow in different directions. Toxin dosing among these muscles should be balanced as well to keep the brow in its original orientation and retain the normal movement direction. Medial depressor muscles counteract both the medial elevating frontalis fibers and the lateral pulling forces. That is why when glabella muscle inhibition is complete, the pivotal structure of the eyebrow moves slightly laterally and upward. The intereyebrow distance can become wider; the arch of the eyebrow can be emphasized and become prominent.

Toxin treatments for structures with multivector opponents need to be calculated with regard to the relative loss and gain and administered with preventive measures to avoid the mismatch.

#### Toxin Reconstitution



**FIGURE 9.3** A thick layer of foam (a) can be visible when the reconstitution proceeds as a rapid flush of saline through a free-hanging needle (b). That is unique and most prominent for incobotulinum toxin. The reconstitution needle should adhere to the vial wall, importing saline with flow following the vial wall (c).

## **DILUTION AND TOXIN SPREAD**

Toxin spread has long been discussed for the purpose of determining the exact range of blocks for best practice. Fick's law is usually given as the reason for the movement of active toxin molecules, describing the movement driven by a concentration gradient, and concentration level is closely related to the volume of saline added during reconstitution. However, the spread of toxin in tissue is not pure diffusion. As most of the judgment of toxin diffusion is done on the forehead by measuring the diameter of anhidrosis after toxin injection, more discussion on diffusion will be included in Chapter 16.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Abbasi NR, et al. A small study of the relationship between Abobotulinum toxin A concentration and forehead wrinkle reduction. *Arch Dermatol* 2012;148(1):119–121.
- Alam M, et al. Pain associated with injection of botulinum A exotoxin reconstituted using isotonic sodium chloride with and without preservative: A double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *Arch Dermatol* 2002;138:510–514.
- Botox (Allergan), Summary of product characteristics, United Kingdom, 2019.
- Carey WD. Incorrect reconstitution of IncobotulinumtoxinA leads to loss of neurotoxin. J Drugs Dermatol 2014;13:735–738.
- Carli L, et al. Assay of diffusion of different botulinum neurotoxin type A formulations injected in the mouse leg. *Muscle Nerve* 2009;40:374–380.
- Costa A, et al. Comparative study of the diffusion of five botulinum toxins type-A in five dosages of use: Are there differences amongst the commercially-available products? *Dermatol Online J* 2012;18:2.
- Dover JS, et al. Botulinum toxin in aesthetic medicine: Myths and realities. Dermatol Surg 2018;44(2):249-260.
- Dressler D, et al. Reconstituting botulinum toxin drugs: Shaking, stirring or what? *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2016;123(5):523–525.
- Dressler D, et al. Botulinum toxin therapy: Reduction of injection site pain by pH normalisation. J Neural Transm 2016;123(5):527–531.
- Dysport (Ipsen), Summary of product characteristics, United Kingdom, 2018.
- Eisele KH, et al. Studies on the dissociation of botulinum neurotoxin type A complexes. *Toxicon* 2011;57:555–565.
- Grein S, et al. Stability of botulinum neurotoxin type A, devoid of complexing proteins. *The Botulinum J* 2011;2:49–58.
- Güleç AT. Dilution of botulinum toxin A in lidocaine vs. in normal saline for the treatment of primary axillary hyperhidrosis: A double-blind, randomized, comparative preliminary study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2012;26(3):314–318.
- Gu S, et al. Botulinum neurotoxin is shielded by NTNHA in an interlocked complex. *Science* 2012; 335:977–981.



**FIGURE 10.6** Muscle volume and distribution can vary from patient to patient. Correct evaluation helps decide the proper treatment dose.



**FIGURE 10.7** Facial muscles have more complex interdigitation and connection with other muscles and the skin. The mobility, connection, and restriction of structures can be further recognized through palpable evaluation.

# **REPEATING TREATMENTS**

Aesthetic toxin treatments are popular and have become a recurrent routine for most toxin patients. Quality control is mandatory for practitioners to enable them to offer them as a sustainable business. Even a minor change in point dosage and the arrangement of injecting points could result in changes that weren't anticipated. Any progress or decline can soon be detected by patients, and they perhaps know the difference better than the injector can. Patients will always appreciate the expertise of a professional who can offer precision treatment, resulting in a steady improvement. Since toxin has to be reapplied every 4–6 months, it is embarrassing for the patient to have a face that keeps changing after a period of several months.



**FIGURE 11.5** Cadaveric dissection of the left hemiface showing the continuous layered arrangement of the face. The subcutaneous fat and superficial musculo-aponeurotic system (including superficial temporal fascia, SMAS, and the platysma) are elevated together. Note the facial ligaments. The masseter muscle (\*) is covered by the parotideomasseteric fascia.



**FIGURE 11.6** Cadaveric dissection of the lower face depicting the bony origin of the mentalis muscle, which inserts superficially into the subcutaneous fat and dermis.



**FIGURE 12.1** A corrugator toxin injection is ideally conducted as an intramuscular injection. (a) The injection needle can reach deeper on the medial side as the origin of the corrugator is the deepest part of the muscle, which starts at the orbital rim. (b) The lateral tail of the corrugator can be approached more superficially as it inserts into the supraorbital skin.



**FIGURE 12.2** The masseter muscle is a bulky muscle with multiple compartments. (a) The usual insulin syringes with a fixed short needle can hardly reach the deep compartment; (b) they should be employed only for superficial doses.



**FIGURE 12.3** (a,b) To have a complete effect for the lower masseter, syringes with detachable needles are long enough to reach the deeper compartment of masseter muscles.



**FIGURE 13.2** (A–D) A study of high-density surface electromyography measured the facial muscles about the distribution of motor endplates. MEPs are predominantly localized in the upper forehead and are spread evenly throughout the area, and can be divided into a medial and a lateral cluster. The superior fibers are oriented in a cranial and craniolateral direction; the inferior fibers were in a caudal and caudomedial direction. (From Neubert 2016.)



**FIGURE 16.7** (a,b) Using small unit toxins with different depth and concentration of incobotulinum toxin in a patient with relatively thin skin revealed that the result of muscle inhibition is extremely small in diameter but larger and prominent in deep injection (c, left of the patient) (unpublished study). A small area of muscular immobilization is not easily measured as the folding of skin is continuous through the action of surrounding active muscle fibers. The measure of muscle effect by diameter measurement is extremely imprecise. (d) The diameter of the anhidrotic halo is similar in superficial and deep injection in patients with thin skin, but larger when the toxin is more diluted.

To refine toxin treatments that have to cover a wide muscular unit like the frontalis muscle, toxin injection can be administered with more points of injection but be smaller in aliquot size That helps to tailor the wrinkle distribution and structural pattern better. For the traditional forbidden area of caudal forehead, toxin actually could be administrated as well but further refined as in a more elevated tissue layer, in more concentrated reconstitution, and with less toxin units.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Anido J, et al. Tailored botulinum toxin type A injections in aesthetic medicine: Consensus panel recommendations for treating the forehead based on individual facial anatomy and muscle tone. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol* 2017;10:413–421.
- Arnaoutakis D, et al. Surgical and nonsurgical techniques in forehead rejuvenation. *Facial Plast Surg* 2018;34(5):466–473.
- Cliff SH, et al. Different formulations of botulinum toxin type A have different migration characteristics: A double-blind, randomized study. *J Cosmet Dermat* 2008; 7:50–54.
- de Almeida ART, et al. Pilot study comparing the diffusion of two formulations of botulinum toxin type A in patients with forehead hyperhidrosis. *Dermatol Surg* 2007;33 Spec No.(1 Spec):S37–S43.
- Garritano FG, et al. Surgical anatomy of the upper face and forehead. Facial Plast Surg 2018;34(2):109-113.
- Hexsel D, et al. A randomized pilot study comparing the action halos of two commercial preparations of botulinum toxin type A. *Dermatol Surg* 2008;34:52–59.
- Hexsel D, et al. Field effect of two commercial preparations of botulinum toxin type A: A prospective, doubleblind, randomized clinical trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2012;67:226–232.